

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
10. Box 1450
Nexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

			<b>~</b>		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,017	02/12/2002	Mikio Torii	1247-0473P	3093	
2292	7590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST	EWART KOLASCH &	BAUM, RONALD			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	2136			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant		Applicant(s)	nt(s)				
		10/073,01	7	TORII ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ronald Ba	um	2136					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve vill apply and wil , cause the appli	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruarv 200	06.						
· —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b)[	$\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date 04132006.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This action is in reply to applicant's correspondence of 23 February 2006.
- 2. Claims 1- 11 are pending for examination.
- 3. Claims 1- 11 remain rejected.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shanton, U.S. Patent 5,680,452.

4. As per claim 1; "An encryption processing apparatus comprising: necessity determination means for

determining whether or not received data needs to be encrypted [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., col. 4,lines 38-65, video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated storage forms (i.e., col. 4,lines 38-65, the hard drive, RAM, CD, queues, network memory elements, printer buffers, etc.) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted (i.e., col. 3,lines 53-65, col. 4,lines 5-38, upon receipt of the data to the encrypting system/device), both in a serial object manor, or in an

encapsulated/inheritance object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.]; and encryption means for

encrypting data which is determined as having to be encrypted, before being stored in a storage apparatus to output [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted (i.e., col. 3, lines 53-65, col. 4, lines 5-38), residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.].".

5. Claim 2 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 1, further comprising:

storage form determination means for

determining a storage form of the storage apparatus,

wherein the necessity determination means

determines whether or not the data needs to be encrypted, based on a determination result of the storage form determination means.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3, lines 51-col. 14, line 40, whereas the received objects defined across all types of data forms and associated storage forms that are further selectively determined to be encrypted, both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance/access controlled object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017 .

Art Unit: 2136

6. Claim 3 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of

Page 4

claim 2, wherein

in cases where the storage form determination means determined the received data as

being to be maintained in the storage apparatus even when the storage apparatus is isolated from

others,

the necessity determination means determines that the data needs to be

encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3, lines 51-col. 14, line 40,

whereas the received objects defined across all types of data forms and associated storage forms,

especially as is concerned with network element/object to network element/object

transfer/controlled access, that are further selectively pre-determined to be encrypted prior to

transfer/storage across the network, both in a serial object manor, or in an

encapsulated/inheritance/access controlled object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed

limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

7. Claim 4 additionally recites the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of

claim 1, wherein

the necessity determination means is constructed so as to

determine whether or not the data needs to be encrypted based on

a form or

items of the data.".

Art Unit: 2136

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

8. Claim 5 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 4, wherein

in cases where the received data is presented in an encrypted form,

the necessity determination means

determines that the received data does not need to be encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted and as such are subsequently encrypted; clearly are not re-encrypted and therefore, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017

Art Unit: 2136

Page 6

9. Claim 6 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 4, wherein

in cases where an item of the received data is an indicator regarding importance of data, the necessity determination means

determines that the received data needs to be encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

10. Claim 7 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 6, wherein

the indicator is

a flag or

an instruction for confidential.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017

Art Unit: 2136

Page 7

transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted upon request or instruction; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/encryption parameters (i.e., confidential or so related levels of security aspects) and specificity, etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

11. Claim 8 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 4, wherein

in cases where an item of the received data is a predetermined condition,

the necessity determination means

determines that the received data needs to be encrypted.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

Art Unit: 2136

12. Claim 9 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 1, further comprising:

decryption means for decrypting the encrypted data which is stored in the storage apparatus,

wherein the data is outputted after being decrypted by the decryption means.".

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted, residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly will be subsequently decrypted upon determination of both valid use request or retrieved form determination so associated with the request, and therefore clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

13. Claim 10 *additionally recites* the limitation that; "The encryption processing apparatus of claim 1,

the encryption processing apparatus being used as an apparatus at a data receiving side."

The teachings of Shanton suggest such limitations (Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted, residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly will be subsequently decrypted upon determination of both valid use request or retrieved form determination so associated with the request, and therefore clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.).

14. As per claim 11; "An encryption processing system comprising:

a host apparatus for offering services such as data creation [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., col. 4,lines 38-65, video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated object creation/applications performing the object instantiation (i.e., host and network client/server word processing, image processing/rendering, etc.,), clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.]; and

an encryption processing apparatus which

encrypts data received from the host apparatus, stores the encrypted data in the storage apparatus, and

outputs the data from the storage apparatus [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., col. 4,lines 38-65, video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated object creation/applications performing the object instantiation (i.e., host and network client/server word processing, image processing/rendering, etc.,) and storage forms (i.e., the hard drive, RAM, CD, queues, network memory elements, printer buffers, etc.) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted (i.e., col. 3,lines 53-65, col. 4,lines 5-38, upon receipt of the data to the encrypting system/device), both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance object data structure, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.],

Art Unit: 2136

providing a condition concerning encryption to data created by the host apparatus before transmitting to the encryption processing apparatus [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (and data item components, i.e., col. 4,lines 38-65, video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated transfer/storage protocols (utilized in said transfer/storage) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted; therefore inherently possess a form (i.e., the various flags and status bytes inherent to said protocols that determine the transfer routing/addressing/access rights/etc.,), as appended to the data/data content object structures/streams so transferred, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.] and wherein the encryption processing apparatus comprises

necessity determination means for

determining based on presence or absence of the condition,

whether or not received data needs to be encrypted [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the use of objects defined across all types of data (i.e., col. 4,lines 38-65, video, printer/printer buffer, sound, executable, general data formatted, etc.) and associated storage forms (i.e., the hard drive, RAM, CD, queues, network memory elements, printer buffers, etc.) that are further selectively determined to be encrypted (upon receipt of the data to the encrypting system/device), both in a serial object manor, or in an encapsulated/inheritance object data structure, clearly

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017

Art Unit: 2136

encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.], and

Page 11

encrypts at the encryption processing apparatus side the received data when the necessity determination means determines

that the received data needs to be encrypted [Abstract, col. 3,lines 51-col. 14,line 40, whereas the objects (data) that are determined to be encrypted, residing in the associated storage forms for which the host processing element will perform the pre-selected form of encryption upon, clearly encompasses the claimed limitations as broadly interpreted by the examiner.].".

Application/Control Number: 10/073,017 . Page 12

Art Unit: 2136

#### Response to Amendment

- 15. As per applicant's argument concerning the lack of teaching by Shanton of necessity determining and encryption means, and associated storage means, the examiner has fully considered in this response to amendment; the arguments, and finds them not to be persuasive. The claim language (i.e., independent claim 1) is not directed to "necessity determining and encryption means, and associated storage means" of an explicit nature, just implicitly in a broad sense. The fact that the specification deals more explicitly with the nature of "necessity determining and encryption means, and associated storage means" does not render the requirement that the claim language not deal with this aspect more succinctly; just that said claim language is looked at in light of the specification. Therefore, the "necessity determining and encryption means, and associated storage means" aspects of Shanton, such as (i.e., col. 4,lines 5-38) "system ... used to select and encrypt objects [received data]...", and (i.e., col. 4, lines 38-65) "... features can change dynamically on the fly ... An object may stay dormant ... hard disk [i.e., stored] ... entities that may be treated as objects ... ", as being broadly interpreted by the examiner, as per the claim language, would therefore be applicable in the rejection, such that said reference does not render the claim language limitations patently distinct.
- 16. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: .10/073,017 . Page 13

Art Unit: 2136

#### Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Ronald Baum, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3861, and whose unofficial Fax number is (571) 273-3861. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh, can be reached at (571) 272-3795. The Fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. For more information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald Baum

Patent Examiner

CHRISTOPHER REVAK PRIMARY EXAMINER

( Il 4/20/06